JAMES L. LOPES (No. 63678) 1 WILLIAM J. LAFFERTY (No. 120814) HOWARD, RICE, NEMEROVSKI, CANADY, 2 FALK & RABKIN A Professional Corporation 3 Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4065 4 Telephone: 415/434-1600 415/217-5910 5 Facsimile: ROGER J. PETERS (No. 77743) 6 CHRISTOPHER J. WARNER (No. 140915) STEVEN W. FRANK (No. 159334) 7 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY P.O. Box 7442 8 San Francisco, California 94120 Telephone: 415/973-7000 9 Facsimile: 415/973-5520 10 Attorneys for Debtor and Debtor In Possession PACIFÍC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 11 12 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION **=** 15 16 No. 01 30923 DM 17 In re Chapter 11 Case PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC 18 COMPANY, a California corporation, October 29, 2001 Date: 19 Time: 9:30 a.m. Debtor. 235 Pine Street, 22nd Floor Place: 20 San Francisco, California Federal I.D. No. 94-0742640 Judge: Hon. Dennis Montali 21 22 DECLARATION OF RUSSELL E. JORGENSEN IN SUPPORT OF PACIFIC GAS 23 AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S MOTION REGARDING REQUEST BY CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES AND ORDER BY CALIFORNIA PUBLIC 24 UTILITIES COMMISSION THAT PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ENTER INTO SERVICING AGREEMENT WITH THE 25 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES 26 ADDI Add: Rids Dyc Mai Kenter 27 28

DECLARATION OF RUSSELL E. JORGENSEN IN SUPPORT OF PG&E'S MOTION

HOWARD RICE
NEMEROVSIG CANADY 14
EAUK
REABIGIN

: 15

I, RUSSELL E. JORGENSEN, declare as follows:

- 1. I am Director of Billing and Revenue Operations at Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), a position I have held since 1999. I have been with PG&E for 31 years. I make this declaration in support of PG&E's "Motion Regarding Request By California Department of Water Resources and Order By California Public Utilities Commission that Pacific Gas and Electric Company Enter Into Servicing Agreement With The California Department of Water Resources."
- 2. Based on my experience at PG&E and my observations of other utilities in California, customers are capable of significant and sustained protests to rate increases and proposed rate increases. A notable example of this occurred during the summer of 2000 in the service territory of San Diego Gas & Electric Company upon the conclusion of the rate freeze imposed by Assembly Bill 1890. When customers' bills increased, there were various campaigns and multiple public demonstrations—some even led by elected officials—urging customers to withhold payment of some portion or all of their electricity bills.
- 3. When customers protest their energy bills, it is not unusual for customers to target certain charges for non-payment. For example, direct access customers in disputes with their energy service provider (ESP) concerning the ESP portion of their bill have instructed PG&E that they desire to pay only the PG&E-portion of their bill, withholding the ESP-portion of their bill. Similarly, certain customers regularly instruct PG&E that they desire to withhold payment on the portion of their bill corresponding to the utility user's tax. Under state law and the terms of PG&E's electric tariffs, PG&E does not disconnect customers for such withholding.
- 4. In the California Department of Water Resources' (DWR's) comments on the proposed rate agreement between DWR and the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), DWR suggested that PG&E should be responsible for DWR's share of local government franchise fees under the proposed servicing agreement. If PG&E were to be

ć

responsible for such fees, the fees could cost PG&E tens of millions of dollars annually.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on September 24, 2001 at San Francisco, California.

Russell E. Jorgensen

WD 092401/1-1419907/cec/946278/v1